Sharon	Jenkins	- (No	sub	iect)
QUIGUOUS I		(110	OU.	1001

Page 2

Jumping through hoops to get E-mail on the road? You've got two choices: Join the circus, or use Molly Mail

Molly Mail -- http://www.mollymail.com

Having trouble sending email from different locations? Need a single outgoing mail server that will work from anywhere?

Set it to smtp.com and never have to change it again!

http://www.smtp.com

James Rector

To: Date: James Rector Wed, Feb 19,2003 8:16 AM

Subject:

Proposed FCC Changes Cost Consumers

Message sent to the following recipients: Senator DeWine Senator Voinovich Representative Turner Message text follows:

James Rector 7067 Cedar Trail Hillsboro, OH 45133

February 19,2003

[recipient address was inserted here]

[recipient name was inserted here],

The Federal Communications Commission is considering taking actions that will restrict consumer choice by deregulating local phone service.

Millions of Americans like me could have their phone service threatened if the local phone companies arent required to allow competitors access to the market. Im also concerned about the Commissions move to relieve all broadband Internet access facilities of open access obligations.

Both of these key decisions will limit my choices as a consumer by lessening competition, diminishing cost savings and threatening consumer protections. **As** a constituent, I urge you to support competition and open access for local phone service.

Sincerely,

James N. Rector

From: Janismus@aol.com

To: Kathleen Abernathy, Michael Copps, KM KJMWEB, Mike Powell, Commissioner

Adelstein

Date: Wed, Feb 19,2003 **6**:40 PM **Subject:** Reject Bells' Monopoly Bid

Message from Janis R. Musante

1665 Hunt Drive Burlingame. CA. 94010

Dsar FCC Commissioner:

Please do not sanction any plan that would hurt the subscriber. There is no one protecting the consumer, as you well know. Your agency is suppose to monitor various companies in order to avoid monopolies and conflicts of interest. California has already been violated by the utility industry. Our PUC, obviously, is useless. Deregulation does not and has not worked, except for increasing corporate profits. Please remove politics from your vote, and vote your conscience. Sincerely,

Janis R. Musante

Generated by:

EasyForm - Copyright 1999 by Thomas J. Delorme http://getperl.virtualave.net

From: jarcuni@infowest.com

To: Kathleen Abernathy, Michael Copps, KM KJMWEB, Mike Powell, Commissioner

Adelstein

Date: Thu, Feb 20,2003 1:42 AM **Subject:** Reject Bells' Monopoly Bid

Message from Jon B. Arcuni

289 Travis Drive **Los Osos**, CA 93402

Dear FCC Commissioner: Elimination of competitive access to wholesale phone networks by SBC will leave **us** with poor **service**, **will** kill local competition and leave me, a loyal republican, with the worst of both worlds, an unregulated monopoly. Please reject the Bells self serving proposals to eliminate the **UNE-Ps**, which would pave the way for a bigger, meaner phone monopoly unrestrained by regulatory oversight. SBC needs regulation!!!!!

Sincerely,

Jon Arcuni

Generated by:

EasyForm - Copyright 1999 by Thomas J. Delorme http://getperl.virtualavenet

From: jhk@pcmagic.net

To: Kathleen Abernathy, Michael Copps, KM KJMWEB, Mike Powell, Commissioner

Adelstein

Date: Wed, Feb 19,2003 4:01 PM **Subject:** Reject Bells' Monopoly Bid

Message from John Kacarab

7651 Frazer Dr. Riverside CA 92509

Dear FCC Commissioner:

We need more competition not less.

What you are about to do, elimination of competitive access to wholesale phone networks, will kill local competition and leave consumers with the worst of both worlds, an unregulated monopoly.

Please reject the Bells self serving proposals to eliminate the **UNE-Ps**, which would pave the way for a bigger, meaner phone monopoly unrestrained by regulatory oversight.

Sincerely,

John Kacarab

Generated by: EasyForm - Copyright 1999 by Thomas J. Delorme http://getperl.virtualave.net

Jim Langstaff Michael Copps

To: Date:

Tue, Feb 18,2003 1:38 AM

Subject:

<no subject>

This letter relates to the FCC upcoming rule changes for broadband and telecom.

Forcing the "baby Bells" to lease their networks at rates that are below market rates has overtime led to "artificially" lower rates for consumers. Now that many telecom companies are full of debt and near bankrupt -- would it not make much more sense to have everyone pay closer to market rates -- end the subsidy and forced discounts so that many great American Telecom companies can turn themselves around and avoid bankruptcy.

As an example, do consumers really need to pay only a few cents a minute for long distance -- if this artificial and false economy is leading to telecom bankruptcies.

Why not let the Baby Bells charge market rates for their networks and require competitors to overtime build their own networks. This would not only revive the Baby Bells but would probably revive the network manufactures such as the Lucents, and Nortels etc of the world.

Yours sincerely,

James H. Langstaff, 265 Empress Avenue Toronto, Ontario. M2N 3V2 (416) 225-8086 **From:** jkohleR@earthlink.net

To: Kathleen Abernathy, Michael Copps, KM KJMWEB, Mike Powell, Commissioner

Adelstein

Date: Wed, Feb 19,2003 5:22 PM **Subject:** Reject Bells' Monopoly Bid

Message from John F. Kohler

217 Fairlawn Avenue Daly City CA 94015

Dear FCC Commissioner: Elimination of competitive access to wholesale phone networks will kill local competition and leave consumers with the worst of both worlds, an unregulated monopoly. Please reject the **Bells** self serving proposals to eliminate the UNE-Ps. which would pave the way for a bigger, meaner phone monopoly unrestrained by regulatory oversight.

Sincerely,

John F. Kohler

Generated by:

EasyForm - Copyright 1999 by Thomas J. Delorme http://getperl.virtualave.net

From: joanjoanq@aol.com

To: Kathleen Abernathy. Michael Copps, KM KJMWEB, Mike Powell, Commissioner

Adelstein

Date: Wed, Feb 19,2003 7:34 PM **Subject:** Reject Bells' Monopoly Bid

Message from Joan V. Quinn

415 Alhambra Blvd. Sacramento, CA 95816

Dear FCC Commissioner:

DO NOT DEREGULATE TELEPHONE SYSTEMS!

Elimination of competitive access to wholesale phone networks will kill local competition and leave consumers with the worst of both worlds, an unregulated monopoly. Please reject the Bell's self serving proposals to eliminate the UNE-Ps, which would pave the way for a bigger, meaner phone monopoly unrestrained by regulatory oversight.

Sincerely, JOAN V. **QUINN** 415 ALHAMBRA BLVD. SACRAMENTO, CA 95816

Generated by:

EasyForm - Copyright 1999 by Thomas J. Delorme http://getperl.virtualave.net

From: John Erb

To: Mike Powell, Kathleen Abernathy, Michael Copps. KM KJMWEB, Commissioner

Adelstein

Date: Mon, Feb 17,2003 4:51 PM

Subject: Save line sharing

Please preserve line-sharing. Let people have choice and competition for their DSL business.

John Erb

Sharon Jenkins - tel

Page 1

From: To: John Nawracay Kathleen Abernathy

Date:

Wed, Feb 19,2003 9:28 PM

Subject:

tel

Reconsider and give the phone co.s a break vote with Powell DE-regulation has ruined the phone co.s and has created fly by nite companies

From: johntrak@closecall.com

To: Kathleen Abernathy, Michael Copps, KM KJMWEB, Mike Powell, Commissioner

Adelstein

Date: Wed, **Feb** 19,2003 7:21 PM **Subject:** Reject Bells' Monopoly Bid

Message from John

1346 16th

Los Osos. CA 93402

Dear FCC Commissioner: Elimination of competitive access to wholesale phone networks will kill local competition and leave consumers with the worst of both worlds, an unregulated monopoly. Please reject the Bells self serving proposals to eliminate the UNE-Ps, which would pave the way for a bigger, meaner phone monopoly unrestrained by regulatory oversight.

Sincerely, John Curtis

Generated by:

EasyForm - Copyright 1999 by Thomas J. Delorme

http://getperl.virtualave.net

From: jshowalt@mindspring.com

To: Kathleen Abernathy, Michael Copps, KM KJMWEB, Mike Powell, Commissioner

Adelstein

Date: Wed, Feb 19,2003 5:24 PM Subject: Reject Bells' Monopoly Bid

Message from James V Showalter

120 Evelyn Way San Francisco CA 94127

Dear FCC Commissioner: Elimination of competitive access to wholesale phone networks will kill local competition and leave consumers with the worst of both worlds, an unregulated monopoly. Please reject the Bell s self serving proposals to eliminate the UNE-Ps, which would pave the way for a bigger, meaner phone monopoly unrestrained by regulatory oversight.

Sincerely,

Generated by:

EasyForm - Copyright 1999 by Thomas J. Delorme http://getperl.virtualavenet

From: jsievers@ppg.com

To: Kathleen Abernathy, Michael Copps, KM KJMWEB, Mike Powell, Commissioner

Adelstein

Date: Wed, Feb 19,2003 4:48 PM Subject: Reject Bells' Monopoly Bid

Message from Jacob Sievers

1753 Richert Ave Clovis, CA 93611

Dear FCC Commissioner: Elimination of competitive access to wholesale phone networks will kill local competition and leave consumers with the worst of both worlds, an unregulated monopoly. Please reject the Bells self sewing proposals to eliminate the UNE-Ps, which would pave the way for a bigger, meaner phone monopoly unrestrained by regulatory oversight.

Sincerely,

Jacob Sievers

Generated by:

EasyForm -Copyright 1999 by Thomas J. Delorme

http://getperl.virtualave.net

Adelstein

From: junkrlh@aol.com

To: Kathleen Abernathy. Michael Copps, KM KJMWEB, Mike Powell, Commissioner

Date: Wed, Feb 19,2003 7:53 PM Subject: Reject Bells' Monopoly Bid

Message from Harlan Levinson

1017 N. Croft #1 Los Angeles, CA 90069

Dear FCC Commissioner: Elimination of competitive access to wholesale phone networks will kill local competition and leave consumers with the worst of both worlds, an unregulated monopoly. Please reject the Bells self serving proposals to eliminate the UNE-Ps, which would pave the way for a bigger, meaner phone monopoly unrestrained by regulatory oversight.

Sincerely,

Harlan Levinson

Generated by:

EasyForm - Copyright 1999 by Thomas J. Delorme http://getperl.virtualave.net

Kathleen Abernathy KAQUINN

To:

Date:

Fri. Feb 14,2003 2:15 PM

Subject:

Fwd: Triennial Review

From: mewpclaw@bellsouth.net

To: Mike Powell

Date: Fri, Feb 14, 2003 2:15 PM

Subject: Triennial Review

Dear Commissioners: I implore you to leave line sharing as it is. Please do not allow the RBOC's to delude you that elimination of line sharing will cause a return of capital spending by the RBOC's. This is an absolute fallacy. All that it will accomplish is the elimination of competition for the RBOC's. It is apparent to most lay people that the RBOC's will not install a fiber optics network to homes. It is too expensive. Therefore, the argument that they will do so appears to me to simply to be a subterfuge to persuade the Commission to eliminate line sharing. I sincerely hope that the Commission is smart enough to see through this sham. Respectfully yours. Melvin E. Weinstein

Kathleen Abernathy, Michael Copps, KM KJMWEB, fadelste@fcc.gov

Kathleen Abernathy KAQUINN

To:

Date:

Sat, Feb 15, 2003 9:15 AM Fwd: FCC on CIEC's

Subject:

From: JLK22151@aol.com
To: Kathleen Abernathy

Date: Sat, Feb 15, 2003 9:15 AM

Subject: FCC on CIEC's

As an average hard working American who has lost a lot of hard earned money in the stock market. I would like to ask you to be fair to the CLECs, and not change the rules that invited my investment. Allowing competition in the communication sector will help drive our countries technology to a higher level.

Thank you,

James Kelley

To:

Kathleen Abernathy KAQUINN Mon, Feb 17,2003 8:59 AM Date:

Subject: Fwd: competition From: Aspazornaii@aol.com
To: Aspazornaii@aol.com

Date: Mon, Feb 17,2003 8:59 AM

Subject: competition

Please consider how much money the Bells have invested in everything other than what they are supposed to have invested and/or are trying to invest in. Many smaller companies which have made the investments will be driven out of business and then swallowed by the bells for nothing. Will this be the solution for competition?

Thank You,

J. Szeneri

Kathleen Abernathy

To:

KAQUINN

Date:

Mon. Feb 17,2003 9:07 PM

Subject:

Fwd: SBC refusal to lease lines to competitors

From: ARBORMED@aol.com

To: Mike Powell, Kathleen Abernathy, Michael Copps, KM KJMWEB, Commissioner

Adelstein

Date: Mon, Feb 17,2003 9:07 PM

Subject: SBC refusal to lease lines to competitors

Dear Commissioners and Chairman Powell:

Please keep alive the possibility that there may someday be competition in local telephone and broadband internet services by requiring that SBC (already a monopolist twice over) continue to lease it lines to competitors in these areas.

Unless the government actively guarantees DSL competition, the installed base for DSL services will stall, resulting in possibly 50% of Americans being without DSL Internet services. High-tech and other industries will be negatively impacted, as will the individual/family consumer.

Thank you for your time.

Edward Costello
Edward J. Costello, Jr.
Private Dispute Resolution
620 East Channel Road
Santa Monica, CA 90402-1316 USA

Phone/fax: 310-230-1581

Website (in formation): http://www,edcostello.com

E-mail: arbormed@aol.com

CC: wiwolfe@adrsol.com

Kathleen Abernathy KAQUINN

To:

Date:

Wed, Feb 19,2003 3:42 AM

Subject:

Fwd: (No subject)

From: jack@midpac.net
To: Kathleen Abernathy

Date: Wed, Feb 19,2003 3:42 AM

Subject: (No subject)

DearSir;

One fo the certain effects of the proposed rule changes now under consideration by the FCC whereby ILEC unbundled services will be eliminated to CLECs will be the demise of indepentent ISP nationwide - as the the ILECs will raise the cost of PRI lines to unstainable levels. We have already seen these actions in Verizon's and SBC's behavior in California and Hawaii with respect to DSL.

Is this what you really want - all the small and medium **ISPs** and all the associated services and revenue that is created and maintained locally **as** well as the competitive level of services they bring to the market place GONE!!

If you think this is an exaggeration please look into the California and Hawaii DSL markets. If you allow these companies to control access to the copper lines that they hold a virtual monopoly over you not only will create the conditions whereby existing services like locally provided dsl and dailup will disappear but you will also eliminate the possiblities for new technologies in wireless to be developed.

You are being asked to reinstate one of the most onerous monopolies ever allowed to be imposed in the US -with the resulting 50 years of stagnation and poor service. Think about this and think further that it was not until the Federal government broke up AT&T that the revolution in telecommunications really began to occur. Think carefully what you are being asked to do - this isn't only a question of a fight between many large telecommunications companies - this is about the potential destruction of the environment that allowed 15 years of unfettered technological creativity, entrepeneurial energy and innovation. Look at the fines levied against SBC -fines that this company considers simply as a part of the cost of doing business rather than the result of its flaunting the law. Look at Verizon's decisions NOT to provide broadband to those areas that do not provide ENOUGH ROI. Look even harder at the innovation and energy put forth by the small companies enabled by the Telecommunications Act of 1996. The Baby Bells have clearly embarked on an aggressive plan to re-monopolize as much of the Telco infrastructure as they possibly can -as owning this infrastructure will allow them to monopolize the services now supported by this infrastructure. Access to this infrastructure is vital to the competitive basis of a free society and vital to innovation and vital the sustaining a quality of service level that extends to the most local of levels. I urge you to think prudently and remember your history - remember the years of one phone company and what that was like when all you needed was a phone line.

As an addenda I'd like you to check into the level of service provided to Hawaii by Verizon. This company is reviled in Hawaii. It is a monopoly. The over riding opinion of its services and support is negative. It refuses to provide broadband to many areas. It is a virtual monopoly in Hawaii.

Sincerely Jack Hendrickson Lihue, Kauai. HI Jumping through hoops to get E-mail on the road? You've got two choices: Join the circus, or use Molly Mail

Molly Mail -- http://www.mollyrnail.com

Having trouble sending **email** from different locations? Need a single outgoing mail server **that** will work from anywhere?

Set it to srntp.com and never have to change it again!

http:/lw.srntp.corn

Kathleen Abernathy

To: Date:

KAQUINN

Wed, Feb 19,2003 9:10 AM

Subject:

Fwd: Consider The Needs Of Children!

From: jrode@rccdc.org
To: Kathleen Abernathy

Date: Wed, Feb 19,2003 9:10 AM
Subject: Consider The Needs Of Children!

FCC Commissioner Kathleen Q. Abernathy

Dear FCC Commissioner Kathleen Q. Abernathy,

I urge the FCC to consider the distinct needs of children in its upcoming rulemaking on broadcast ownership rules

Children consume almost five and a half hours of media per day. Research has shown that media, particularly television, play a unique and powerful role in the development of children.

The relaxation of media ownership rules will result in significantly less original programming for children Relaxation also will reduce competition, potentially stifling innovation and increasing commercialism in children's programming.

Before making any regulatory changes to existing media ownership rules, the FCC must consider how children will be affected.

Sincerely,

Janna Rode 22476 Hwy. 190 Robert, Louisiana 70455

CC:

Senator John Breaux Representative David Vitter Senator Mary Landrieu From: To:

Kathleen Abernathy KAQUINN

Date:

Wed, Feb 19,2003 5:47 PM Fwd: Keep UNE-P

Subject:

From: MAEdwards@EdwardsIndustries.net

To:

Kathleen Abernathy, Michael Copps, KM KJMWEB, Mike Powell, Commissioner

Adelstein **Date:**

Wed, Feb 19,2003 5:47 PM

Subject:

Keep UNE-P

Messagefrom M.A. Edwards

Edwards Industries/ARC Systems division 2371 Canal Road Sparks, Nevada 89434

Dear FCC Commissioners:

The Bells are simply attempting to rebuild the monopoly of old. That program didn't work then, and won't work now. The only fair way for competition to take hold and grow is to allow UNE-P to continue until Bell is required to separate the local loop. Once Bell has to live with the same rules imposed on the competition, and the regulatory agencies can determine a fair rate for the **use** of the loop, there will be no question of 'fairness'.

Threats of job loss and refusal to invest in plant should be rejected out of hand. If the Bells do not wish to invest in their plant, remove the certificate of public convenience and necessity and give it to a company that will honor that principle. Let the Bell then be a REAL competitor and **see** how they like it. The PSTN was built with funds guaranteed by the ratepayer; it doesn't belong to an RBOC. Somebody needs to remember that. There will always be a PSTN, nobody says it has to be run by an RBOC.

I encourage this Commission to look to the broader view, and what is good for the public and its convenience, not to the monopolistic desires of the few huge and uncontrollable telcos.

Sincerely,

M.A. Edwards

Generated by : EasyForm - Copyright 1999 by Thomas **J.** Delorme http://getperl.virtualave.net

Page 2

From: Kathleen Abernathy

To: KAQUINN

Date: Wed, Feb 19.2003 6:04 PM

Subject: Fwd: READ NOW! ABOUT 2/20 Fwd: FCC Hearings and Competition in Local Phone

Service

From: Moemoehead99@aol.com

To: mpowell@fcc.com, Michael Copps, KM KJMWEB, Kathleen Abernathy, Commissioner

Adelstein

Date: Wed, Feb 19,2003 6:04 PM

Subject: READ NOW! ABOUT 2/20 Fwd: FCC Hearings and Competition in Local Phone

Service

To the Honorable Chairman and Commissioners of the FCC:

Please, I'm begging you to take 2 minutes of your time to read a point of view from someone besides that of the powerful, the rich, and especially those who have millions of dollars to contribute to the campaigns of the right politicians.

My "letter to the editor" has been circulated to hundreds of people who were laid off from Verizon and have applauded it. Not only that, they have high hopes that it can make even a little bit of difference in the minds of those Commissioners, the three Republican ones, who have been influenced by the politicians who seem to believe that the things that the well paid lobbyists tell them are not only fact, but also the general feelings of all Americans.

Either that or they really do care only about lining the pockets of a few entrepreneurs who employ very few people along with a few big companies who only care about their profit, not the jobs of thousands of people.

The way the whole thing is set up is WRONG! ITS COSTING THOUSANDS UPON THOUSANDS OF JOBS AND PEOPLE ARE FINALLY WAKING UP TO THAT FACT, ESPECIALLY THE PEOPLE WHO HAVE LOST THEIR JOBS!

YOUR TRYING TO FORCE COMPETITION BY BREAKING NOT ONLY VERIZON'S BACK AND OTHER MAIN LOCAL CARRIERS, BUT ALSO BY TAKING AWAY GOOD SERVICE AND JOBS!

WHAT IS WRONG WITH YOU PEOPLE??

IS IT A COINCIDENCE THAT ITS THE 3 REPUBLICAN COMMISSIONERS WHO ARE BLOCKING A RULING THAT WOULD BRING BACK JOBS? I HOPE NOT, BUT THE PROOF IS IN THE PUDDING AND WHAT YOU DO AS A GOVERNMENT AGENCY THAT IS SUPPOSED TO BE ACTING IN THE AMERICAN PEOPLE'S BEST INTEREST, NOTA SPECIAL FEW WHO CAN AFFORD TO CONTRIBUTE MILLIONS OF DOLLARS TO CAMPAIGNS!

I'm sorry for being upset, but I'm upset for the thousands of people who needlessly lost their jobs in large part because of your agency and I'm upset for the thousands up people who are putting up with substandard service because of you and the PSC.

DO THE RIGHT THING!

Thank you for taking the time to read the enclosed letter and, I hope, for doing the right thing. You have a lot of people watching you and the Senators who influence you, holding their collective breath and hoping that you make the right decision.

Sincerely,

Sandra Fournier 304 78th ST NF, NY 14304 (716)283-3490 CC: MoernoeheadSS@aol.com

From: agompper@webtv.net

To: Kathleen Abernathy, Michael Copps, KM KJMWEB, Mike Powell, Commissioner

Adelstein

Date: Wed, Feb 19,2003 5:11 PM Subject: Reject Bells' Monopoly Bid

Message from Alan D. Gompper

6545 Estates Court Kelseyville, CA 95451

Dear FCC Commissioner: Elimination of competitive access to wholesale phone networks will kill local competition and leave consumers with the worst of both worlds, an unregulated monopoly. Please reject the Bells self serving proposals to eliminate the UNE-Ps, which would pave the way for a bigger, meaner phone monopoly unrestrained by regulatory oversight.

Sincerely, Alan D. Gompper

Generated by:

EasyForm - Copyright 1999 by Thomas J. Delorme

http:Ngetperl.virtualave.net

From: Moemoehead99@aol.com To: snakebitel3@sysr.com cc: Moemoehead99@aol.com

Subject: FCC Hearings and Competition in Local Phone Service

Dear Editor:

I know a lot of people who were laid off from Verizon and am friends with quite a few people who still work there, so when the layoffs happened I did a lot of research to find out the real story after Verizon put a lot of the blame on the FCC and PSC. More than two months worth, to be honest.

I hope that you'll consider printing my letter in your paper, as its not only important to me, its even more important to the thousands of people who lost their jobs and the customers who are left with half-assed service because of the **staff** shortage.

My facts and numbers are solid, or at least as solid as my resources, but, like I said, I did do my homework.

Thank you very much for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Sandra Fournier

Dear Editor

Can you hear me now?

Verizon Communications, a powerful, profitable, multibillion dollar company and one of the top local service providers in the **US** laid off close to 3,000 employees across **NY** State just six days before Christmas. While 3,000 is a bad enough number on its own, its only a fraction of the 1/2 millionjobs lost between the top local service providers in the last year alone.

When I heard that unfair and one-sided rulings by the FCC and PSC were a big part of the reason that those people had *to* lose their jobs I decided to do some digging. What I uncoveredwas the same tired mix of good-old-boy, back room deals, political favors for campaign contributions. politics-as-usualnonsense, corporate greed, and as always, the general public sitting back and letting it all happen without so much as a "peep." Well, except for the special interest groups who do enough "peeping" for all of us combined, and despite popular opinion in Washington they do not represent the feelings of most Americans and almost never anyone else's best interest except their own.

Our Country adopted laws that made it illegal to own a monopoly, fix prices, or restrict trade starting with the Sherman Antitrust Act of 1890. Exceptions were built in for cases when it was in the public's best interest to allow certain monopolies to exist, such as utilities, but only with strict rules and keen oversight from government regulatory agencies.

The FCC (Federal Communications Commission) was established by the Communications Act of 1934 as an "independent" US government agency directly responsible to Congress. which has 5 Commissioners, all appointed by the President for 5 year terms with one picked by him as the Chairperson.

Is it possible for the FCC to be truly independent when they answer to politicians, who's main goal in life has become to get reelected, which takes the big-bucks from the special interest groups who fund their campaigns?